

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/976,997	10/11/2001	Michael J. Greenside	100110073-1	3308	
75	7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LEE, JINHEE J		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2831		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ar			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/976,997	GREENSIDE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jinhee J. Lee	2831			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
• •	EDIVIS SET TO EVDIDE 2 M	ONTH(S) EPOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by soon - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the integrated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rn. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thireriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>26 May 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are pending in the					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	idrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or cloation requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	na/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		IISAPPROVED by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(-) (4) (0			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		askastas Na			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Radloff (US005575546A).

Re claim 1, Radloff discloses an assembly comprising: a filler panel body (14); and a locating element (16f, post) coupled to said filler panel body, said locating element orienting said filler panel body with respect to a computer chassis (16) such that interference generating movement of said filler panel body is reduced (see figure 1 and column 3 lines 45-46 according to the numbering in the middle).

Re claim 2, Radloff discloses an assembly comprising: an attaching device (16g, tab) adapted to be coupled to said filler panel body, said attaching device for removably coupling said filler panel body to said chassis (see figure 1). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 3, Radloff discloses an assembly comprising: an electromagnetic interference shield portion (unnumbered, outer edges of 14a as well as 16f, 16g and 16l for example) coupled to said filler panel body, said shield portion adapted to prevent

Application/Control Number: 09/976,997 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

EMI leakage from said chassis (see figure 1 and column 6 lines 13-15). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radloff
  Re claim 4, Radloff substantially discloses an assembly as set forth in claim 1
  with said locating element coupled to said filler panel body at a location such that said
  locating element will insert into a mounting hole disposed on said chassis. Radloff does
  not explicitly disclose that the assembly is in accordance with a compact peripheral

Application/Control Number: 09/976,997

Art Unit: 2831

component interconnect standard. It would be obvious to make routine changes to meet the standards and codes in effect at the time and place of implementation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the assembly that is in accordance with a compact peripheral component interconnect standard, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

6. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radloff in view of Jones et al. (US3986544).

Re claim 6, Radloff substantially discloses an assembly as set forth in claim 1 above with said locating element with head portion (unnumbered portion, top portion of 16f for example). Radloff does not explicitly disclose an insertion portion coupled to said head portion, said insertion portion adapted to be inserted into an opening in said chassis to reduce said interference generating movement of said filler panel body with respect to said chassis. However, Jones et al. teaches of a locating element (1, screw member) with an insertion portion (3, shank) coupled to a head portion (2, head), said insertion portion adapted to be inserted into an opening (see figure 7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the locating member with an insertion portion coupled to said head portion, said insertion portion adapted to be inserted into an opening in said chassis to reduce said interference generating movement of said filler panel body with respect to said chassis of Jones et al. on the assembly of Radloff in order to provide a fastened post. Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is

Art Unit: 2831

not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 7, note that Radloff discloses an assembly comprising: said locating element coupled to said filler panel body such that said head portion is flush with said filler panel body (see figure 1).

Re claim 8, note that Jones et al. teaches of the locating element with a retention portion (unnumbered, threading of shank 3) coupled to said head portion and adapted to enhance coupling of said locating element and said filler panel body (see figure 7). Also note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that the prior art, Radloff does not disclose, "a locating element coupled to said filler panel body", examiner disagrees. Figure 1 of Radloff clearly discloses the limitation as claimed.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., coupled to the filler panel body "regardless of whether the filler panel body is coupled with a chassis) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are

Application/Control Number: 09/976,997 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinhee J Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2831

jjl

DEAN A. REICHARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800